

# Agricultural drainage water management in arid and semi-arid areas

FAO  
IRRIGATION  
AND DRAINAGE  
PAPER

61



# Foreword

Irrigated agriculture has made a significant contribution towards world food security. However, water resources for agriculture are often overused and misused. The result has been large-scale waterlogging and salinity. In addition, downstream users have found themselves deprived of sufficient water, and there has been much pollution of freshwater resources with contaminated irrigation return flows and deep percolation losses. Irrigated agriculture needs to expand in order to produce sufficient food for the world's growing population. The productivity of water use in agriculture needs to increase in order both to avoid exacerbating the water crisis and to prevent considerable food shortages. As irrigated agriculture requires drainage, a major challenge is to manage agricultural drainage water in a sustainable manner.

Up until about 20 years ago, there were few or indeed no constraints on the disposal of drainage water from irrigated lands. One of the principle reasons for increased constraints on drainage disposal is to protect the quality of receiving waters for downstream uses and to protect the regional environment and ecology. Many developed and developing countries practise drainage water management. This study has brought together case studies on agricultural drainage water management from the United States of America, Central Asia, Egypt, India and Pakistan in order to learn from their experiences and to enable the formulation of guidelines on drainage water management. From the case studies, it was possible to distinguish four broad groups of drainage water management options: water conservation, drainage water reuse, drainage water disposal and drainage water treatment. Each of these options has certain potential impacts on the hydrology and water quality in an area. Interactions and trade-offs occur when more than one option is applied.

Planners, decision-makers and engineers need a framework in order to help them to select from among the various options and to evaluate their impact and contribution towards development goals. Moreover, technical expertise and guidelines on each of the options are required to enable improved assessment of the impact of the different options and to facilitate the preparation of drainage water management plans and designs. The intention of this publication is to provide guidelines to sustain irrigated agriculture and at the same time to protect water resources from the negative impacts of agricultural drainage water disposal.

This publication consists of two parts. Part I deals with the underlying concepts relating to drainage water management. It discusses the adequate identification and definition of the problem for the selection and application of a combination of management options. It then presents technical considerations and details on the four groups of drainage management options. Part II contains the summaries of the case studies from the United States of America, Central Asia, Egypt, India and Pakistan. These case studies represent a cross-section of approaches to agricultural drainage water management. The factors affecting drainage water management include geomorphology, hydrology, climate conditions and the socio-economic and institutional environment. The full texts of the case studies can be found on the attached CD-ROM.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are obliged to C.A. Madramootoo (Professor and Director, Brace Centre for Water Resources Management, McGill University, Canada), Dr J.W. van Hoorn (retired from Wageningen Agricultural University, The Netherlands), Dr J. Williamson (Acting Chief, CSIRO Land and Water, Australia) and Dr E. Christen (Irrigation and Drainage Research Engineer, CSIRO Land and Water, Australia) for their critical and constructive comments. Their contributions have improved the quality of this publication substantially.

The authors would also like to express their gratitude for the support and continuous feedback provided by Dr J. Martínez Beltrán, Technical Officer, Water Resources, Development and Management Service, FAO, during the preparation of this Irrigation and Drainage Paper. Dr Martínez Beltrán conceived this publication and made a major contribution towards the outline.

Sincere thanks are offered to the following authors and institutions for direct reproduction of materials in the annexes: Dr E. Maas and Dr S. Grattan for the crop salt tolerance data; the Sustainable Rural Development Program of the Department of Agriculture (former Agriculture Western Australia) for the salinity rating and species of salt tolerant trees and shrubs; the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines and P.Q. Guyer for the water quality guidelines for livestock and poultry; Alterra for the data set for soil hydraulic properties; and the WHO for the drinking-water quality guidelines.

In addition, the generous contributions from our colleagues, U. Barg, Fishery Resources Officer (Aquaculture), Fisheries Department, FAO, and S. Smits, M.Sc. student at Wageningen University, The Netherlands, and volunteer in the Water Resources, Development and Management Service, FAO, are acknowledged.

For the case study on California, the author acknowledges his colleagues Dr M. Alemi and Professor J. Letey and Professor W. Wallender. For the case study on Egypt, the author is grateful for the information and materials provided by Dr S.A. Gawad and her staff of the Drainage Research Institute, Egypt.

Thanks are also expressed to J. Plummer for editing the document, W. Prante for preparing the CD-ROM and L. Chalk for formatting text, figures and tables into the final camera-ready form.

# Contents

	Page
FOREWORD	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF BOXES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS	xii
PART I. FRAMEWORK AND TECHNICAL GUIDELINES	1
1. INTRODUCTION	3
Need for drainage of irrigated lands	3
Need for water conservation and reuse	5
Towards drainage water management	5
Scope of this publication	6
2. DEFINING THE PROBLEM AND SEEKING SOLUTIONS	9
System approach in drainage water management	9
Defining the problem	11
Seeking solutions	13
Spatial issues	13
The use of models in recommending solutions and anticipated results	15
Model characteristics	15
Regional models	16
Rootzone hydrosalinity models	16
Principles of rootzone hydrosalinity models	16
Salt balance in the rootzone	18
3. FRAMEWORK TO SELECTING, EVALUATING AND ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF DRAINAGE WATER MANAGEMENT MEASURES	21
Definition of drainage water management and tasks involved	21
Driving forces behind drainage water management	21
Physical drainage water management options	22
Conservation measures	22
Reuse measures	23
Treatment measures	24
Disposal measures	25
Non-physical drainage water management options	27
Emission levels	27
Ambient levels	28
Salinity permits	28
Charges on inputs	28
Subsidies on practices	29
Charging/subsidizing outputs	29
Combined measures	30

	Page
Selection and evaluation of drainage water management options	30
Benchmarking	31
4. WATER QUALITY CONCERNS IN DRAINAGE WATER MANAGEMENT	33
Introduction	33
Drainage water quality	33
Factors affecting drainage water quality	34
Geology and hydrology	34
Soils	35
Climate	37
Cropping patterns	37
Use of agricultural inputs	37
Irrigation and drainage management	38
Drainage techniques and design	38
Characteristics of drainage water quality	39
Salts and major ions	39
Toxic trace elements	40
Agropollutants	40
Sediments	41
Water quality concerns for water uses	41
Crop production	41
Living aquatic resources, fisheries and aquaculture	42
Livestock production	43
Concerns for human health	44
5. WATER CONSERVATION	45
Need for water conservation measures	45
Hydrologic balance	46
Irrigation performance indicators	47
Source reduction through sound irrigation management	50
Reasonable losses	50
Management options for on-farm source reduction	54
Options for source reduction at scheme level	55
Impact of source reduction on long-term rootzone salinity	56
Maintaining a favourable salt balance under source reduction	57
Calculation example impact of source reduction on salinity of rootzone	58
Impact of source reduction on salt storage within the cropping season	60
Calculation example of impact of source reduction on salt balance of the rootzone	61
Impact of source reduction on salinity of drainage water	62
Calculation example of source reduction and the impact on drainage water generation and salinity	63
Shallow water table management	63
Controlled subsurface drainage	64
Considerations in shallow water table management	65
Capillary rise	65
Maintaining a favourable salt balance under shallow water table management	66

	Page
Calculation example of the impact of shallow water table management on salinity buildup and leaching requirement	67
Land retirement	69
Hydrologic, soil and biologic considerations	69
Selection of lands to retire	70
Management of retired lands	71
6. DRAINAGE WATER REUSE	73
Introduction	73
Relevant factors	73
Considerations on the extent of reuse	74
Maintaining favourable salt and ion balances and soil conditions	74
Maintaining a favourable salt balance	74
Maintaining favourable soil structure	75
Maintaining favourable levels of ions and trace elements	79
Reuse in conventional crop production	81
Direct use	81
Conjunctive use – blending	82
Conjunctive use – cyclic use	83
Crop substitution and reuse for irrigation of salt tolerant crops	85
Crop substitution	85
Reuse for irrigation of salt tolerant plants and halophytes	85
Reuse in IFDM systems	87
Reclamation of salt-affected land	89
7. DRAINAGE WATER DISPOSAL	91
Requirements for safe disposal	91
Disposal conditions	92
Disposal in freshwater bodies	93
Disposal into evaporation ponds	95
Evaporation ponds in Pakistan	96
Evaporation ponds in California, the United States of America	96
Evaporation ponds in Australia	97
Design considerations for evaporation ponds	98
Injection into deep aquifers	99
8. TREATMENT OF DRAINAGE EFFLUENT	101
Need for drainage water treatment	101
Treatment options	101
Desalinization	102
Trace element treatment	103
Flow-through artificial wetlands	104
Evaluation and selection of treatment options	107
PART II – SUMMARIES OF CASE STUDIES FROM CENTRAL ASIA, EGYPT, INDIA, PAKISTAN AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	109
Summaries of case studies	111
REFERENCES	121

	Page
ANNEXES	133
1. CROP SALT TOLERANCE DATA	135
2. WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES FOR LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY PRODUCTION FOR PARAMETERS OF CONCERN IN AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER	161
3. DRINKING-WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES FOR PARAMETERS OF CONCERN IN AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER	163
4. IMPACT OF IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT ON WATER AND SALT BALANCE IN THE ABSENCE OF CAPILLARY RISE	167
5. CAPILLARY RISE AND DATA SET FOR SOIL HYDRAULIC FUNCTIONS	175
6. TREES AND SHRUBS FOR SALTLAND, SALINITY RATINGS AND SPECIES LISTS	183

#### CASE STUDIES AVAILABLE ON CD-ROM

DRAINAGE WATER MANAGEMENT IN THE ARAL SEA BASIN

DRAINAGE WATER REUSE AND DISPOSAL: A CASE STUDY FROM THE NILE DELTA, EGYPT

DRAINAGE WATER REUSE AND DISPOSAL IN NORTHWEST INDIA

DRAINAGE WATER REUSE AND DISPOSAL: A CASE STUDY ON PAKISTAN

DRAINAGE WATER REUSE AND DISPOSAL: A CASE STUDY ON THE WESTERN SIDE OF THE SAN JOAQUÍN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

#### **System requirements to use the CD-ROM:**

- PC with Intel Pentium® processor and Microsoft® Windows 95 / 98 / 2000 / Me / NT / XP  
*or*
- Apple Macintosh with PowerPC® processor and Mac OS® 8.6 / 9.0.4 / 9.1 / X
- 64 MB of RAM
- 24 MB of available hard-disk space
- Internet browser such as Netscape® Navigator or Microsoft® Internet Explorer
- Adobe Acrobat® Reader (included on CD-ROM)

## List of boxes

	Page
1. Need for conservation of water quality – Example from the Aral Sea Basin	5
2. Need to increase water use efficiency as result of water scarcity – An example from Egypt	46
3. Non-beneficial unreasonable uses	50
4. Contribution of capillary rise in India	65
5. Capillary flux in the Drainage Pilot Study Area	66
6. Adjusted sodium adsorption ratio	76
7. Conversion from meq/litre calcium to pure gypsum	78
8. The use of <i>Sesbania</i> as a green manure to improve soil chemical and physical properties	79
9. Direct reuse in Egypt and Pakistan	82
10. Maximum reuse and minimum disposal of drainage water in the Nile Delta, Egypt, based on maintaining favourable salt balance	91
11. Minimum drainage discharge requirements for maintaining the freshwater functions of the Northern Lakes, Egypt	92
12. Basics of an algal-bacterial system for the removal of selenium	104
13. Mini-plot plant for the removal of heavy metals	104

## List of figures

	Page
1. Rise of the groundwater table in Punjab, Pakistan	3
2. Example of a water management system within an irrigation district	10
3. Example of a simplified holistic picture of the on-farm water management sub-system	10
4. The seven stages in the soft system methodology	11
5. Topography and boundaries of the Panoche Water District	14
6. Annual recharge to groundwater in Panoche Water District	14
7. Annual amount of Se removed by the drains	15
8. Major chemical reactions in salt-affected soils	18
9. Physical drainage water management options and how they relate to one another	22
10. Options for disposal to surface water bodies	26
11. Flowchart of process for selecting an optimal set of drainage water management options	30



---

	Page
12. Cross-section of the San Joaquin Valley	34
13. Freebody diagram of water flows in the San Joaquin Valley	35
14. Water flow over and through the soil	36
15. Hydrologic balance in the vadose and saturated zones, and in a combination of vadose and saturated zones	46
16. Consumptive versus non-consumptive and beneficial versus non-beneficial uses	48
17. Beneficial and non-beneficial and reasonable and unreasonable uses	48
18. Losses captured by subsurface field drains	50
19. Deep percolation losses	51
20. Management losses in relation to the size of the irrigation scheme	53
21. Distribution losses in relation to farm size and soil type	53
22. Infiltration losses in furrow irrigation	55
23. Assessment of leaching fraction in relation to the salinity of the infiltrated water	57
24. Calculation of average rootzone salinity for the drainage pilot study area	59
25. Calculation average rootzone salinity under source reduction in the drainage pilot study area	60
26. Change in the average rootzone salinity over the year under source reduction	62
27. Depth of drainage water generated	63
28. Salinity of the generated drainage water	63
29. Salt load in the generated drainage water	64
30. Controlled drainage	64
31. Pressure head profiles for a silty soil for stationary capillary rise fluxes	66
32. Change in water table during the winter season in the drainage pilot study area	68
33. Recharge and discharge areas	70
34. Average concentration of soil salinity and boron in seven soil profiles in Broadview Water District in 1976	76
35. Relative rate of water infiltration as affected by salinity and <i>SAR</i>	77
36. Relationship between leaching fraction of the soil solution, selenium concentration of the irrigation water and the selenium concentration in the soil solution	80
37. Relationship between mean $B_{ss}$ in the rootzone and between $B_l$ for several leaching fractions	81
38. Use of drainage water for crop production	81
39. Relative growth response to salinity of conventional versus halophytes	86
40. Principles of an IFDM system	87
41. Layout of sequential reuse of subsurface drainage waters and salt harvest at Red Rock Ranch	87
42. Map of the Grasslands subarea and drainage water discharge	94
43. The significance of irrigation return flows from Salt Slough and Mud Slough on the quality of the San Joaquin River system	95
44. Reverse osmosis system with lime-soda pretreatment	102
45. Layout of pilot-scale constructed wetland experimental plots at the Tulare Lake Drainage District	105
46. Initial estimate on mass balance of selenium in ten flow-through wetland cells, 1997-2000	106

## List of tables

	Page
1. Salinized and drained areas compared with total irrigated area, Central Asia and the Near East	4
2. Conservation measures, practices and points for consideration	23
3. Reuse measures, practices and points for consideration	24
4. Array of drainage water treatment options	25
5. Disposal measures, practices and points for consideration	26
6. Economic policy instruments	27
7. Expected quality characteristics of irrigation return flow as related to applied irrigation waters	33
8. Salt applied in irrigation water and removed by drains	39
9. Estimated reasonable deep percolation losses as related to irrigation methods	51
10. Seepage losses in percentage of the canal flow	52
11. Agroclimatic data for the drainage pilot study area	58
12. Salt balance in the rootzone for the drainage pilot study area during wheat season	61
13. Quality indicators for some main drains	74
14. Flow-weighted concentration of salinity and boron concentrations and mass transfer of salts in Broadview Water District, 1976	75
15. Drainage water quality criteria for irrigation purposes in the Nile Delta, Egypt	83
16. Effect of diluted drainage water on wheat yield	83
17. Effect of cyclic irrigation with canal and drainage waters on yield of wheat and succeeding summer crops (t/ha)	84
18. Crop response to salinity for three crops at various growth stages	85
19. Promising cultivars for saline and alkaline environments in India and Pakistan	86
20. Changes in salinity and boron by depth at locations in the tile drained Red Rock Ranch	88
21. Water quality of supply and reused drainage waters on Red Rock Ranch	89
22. Allowable subsurface drainage discharge and drainable area into the River Yamuna	93
23. Average composition of agricultural tile drainage water in the San Luis Drain	101
24. Results of a trial-run for a three-stage reverse osmosis system, lime-soda pretreatment	103
25. Performance of the wetland cells in removing selenium from drainage water with 18.2-ppb selenium	105

## List of acronyms and symbols

Acronym/ symbol	Description	Dimension
$\psi$	bulk density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ , $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$\sigma$	drainable pore space ( $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ )	-
$\zeta$	empirical shape parameter ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	$\text{L}^{-1}$
$\xi$	empirical shape parameter depending on $dK/dh$	-
$\chi$	volumetric water content ( $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ )	-
$\chi^{fc}$	volumetric water content at field capacity ( $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ )	-
$\pm h$	drop in groundwater table (m)	L
$\pm M_{ss}$	changes in storage of soluble soil salts (kg, t)	M
$\pm M_{xc}$	changes in storage of exchangeable cations (kg, t)	M
$\pm S$	change in salt storage in the rootzone (ECmm)	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-2}$
$\pm W$	change in moisture content in the rootzone (mm)	L
$\pm W_{rz}$	change in water storage in the rootzone (mm)	L
$\pm W_{sz}$	change in water storage in the saturated zone (mm)	L
$\pm W_{vsz}$	change in water storage in the vadose and saturated zone (mm)	L
$\pm W_{vz}$	change in water storage in the vadose zone (mm)	L
A	salinity threshold (bars)	$\text{ML}^{-1} \text{T}^{-2}$
a	salinity threshold (dS/m)	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$
AW	applied water (mm)	L
B	slope expressed in percent per bar	-
b	slope expressed in percent per dS/m	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$
$b_i$	boron concentration in irrigation water ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
BOD	biochemical oxygen demand ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$B_{ss}$	boron concentration in the soil solution ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$B_{ss0}$	initial boron concentration in the soil solution ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$B_{sst}$	desired boron concentration in the soil solution ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$C_{dw}$	salt concentration of drainage water ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ or $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ , $\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
CEC	cation exchange capacity ( $\text{meq } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ or $\text{mMol (c) } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	$\text{NM}^{-1}$
$C_{gw}$	salt concentration of groundwater ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ or $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$C_i$	salt concentration of irrigation water ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ or $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ , $\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
CIMIS	California Irrigation Management Information System	-
$C_{IW}$	salt concentration of the infiltrated water (mg/litre)	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$C_k$	solute species ( $\text{mMol litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{NL}^{-3}$
COD	chemical oxygen demand ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
$C_{R^*}$	salt concentration of the net percolation water (mg/litre)	$\text{ML}^{-3}$
CVRWQCB	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	-
$\bar{C}_k$	exchangeable form of solute species ( $\text{mMol (c) } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	$\text{NM}^{-1}$
$\bar{C}_k$	mineral form of solute species ( $\text{mMol (c) } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	$\text{NM}^{-1}$
D	dispersion coefficient ( $\text{m}^2 \text{d}^{-1}$ )	$\text{L}^2 \text{T}^{-1}$
$D_{rz}$	depth of the rootzone (m)	L
$D_L$	depth of leaching water (mm)	L
$D_r$	drainage water reuse (mm)	L
$D_{ra}$	artificial subsurface drainage (mm)	L
$D_{rn}$	natural drainage (mm)	L
$D_s$	depth of soil to be reclaimed (mm)	L
E	evaporation (mm)	L
$e_a$	irrigation application efficiency	-
EC	electrical conductivity ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ , $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ )	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$
$e_c$	water conveyance efficiency	-
$EC_0$	$EC_e$ at which the crop yield is reduced to zero ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ )	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$
$EC_{50}$	$EC_e$ at which the crop yield is reduced to 50 percent ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ )	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$
$EC_{Dra}$	EC of subsurface drainage water ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ )	$\text{T}^3 \text{I}^2 \text{M}^{-1} \text{L}^{-3}$

Acronym/ symbol	Description	Dimension
$EC_e$	EC of soil water of the saturated paste ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{e0}$	initial $EC_e$ ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{et}$	desired $EC_e$ ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{fc}$	EC of soil water at field capacity ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{frR}$	EC of percolation water mixed with soil solution ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{gw}$	EC of groundwater ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_I$	EC of the irrigation water ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{IW}$	EC of the infiltrated water ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{IW_i}$	EC of the infiltrated water mixing with soil solution ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_R$	EC of the percolation water ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{Si}$	EC of $S_i$ intercepted by subsurface drains ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{SW}$	EC of the soil water ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$EC_{is}$	threshold EC of the extract from saturated soil paste ( $dS\ m^{-1}$ )	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-3}$
$e_d$	distribution efficiency	-
ESP	exchangeable sodium percentage	-
ET	evapotranspiration (mm)	L
$ET_{crop}$	crop evapotranspiration (mm)	L
ETo	reference crop evapotranspiration (mm)	L
$f$	leaching efficiency coefficient	-
$f_i$	leaching efficiency coefficient of water mixing with soil solution	-
$f_r$	leaching efficiency coefficient of the percolation water	-
G	capillary rise (mm)	L
H	hydraulic head (m)	L
h	soil pressure head (m)	L
I	total applied irrigation water (mm)	L
ICUC	irrigation consumptive use coefficient	-
IE	irrigation efficiency	-
IFDM	integrated farm drainage management	-
$I_g$	groundwater irrigation (mm)	L
$I_i$	infiltrated irrigation water (mm)	L
IS	irrigation sagacity	-
$I_s$	surface irrigation (mm)	L
IW	infiltrated water (mm)	L
K	hydraulic conductivity ( $m\ d^{-1}$ )	$L\ T^{-1}$
$K_c$	crop coefficient	-
$K(\chi)$	unsaturated hydraulic conductivity ( $m\ d^{-1}$ )	$L\ T^{-1}$
$K_s$	saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $m\ d^{-1}$ )	$L\ T^{-1}$
LF	leaching fraction	-
$LF_i$	leaching fraction of water mixing with the soil solution	-
LR	leaching requirement	-
$LR_i$	leaching requirement of water mixing with soil solution	-
$M_c$	mass of salts removed by harvested crops (kg)	M
$M_d$	mass of salts dissolved from mineral weathering (kg)	M
$M_f$	mass of salts from fertilisers and amendments (kg)	M
$M_p$	mass of salts precipitated in soils (kg)	M
MPN	most probable number of faecal coliform	-
$M_{Se}$	mass of selenium (kg)	M
n	dimensionless empirical shape parameter	-
$OP_{fc}$	osmotic potential at field capacity (bar)	$ML^{-1}T^{-2}$
O&M	operation and maintenance	-
P	precipitation (mm)	L
$P_e$	effective precipitation (mm)	L
q	soil water flux or specific discharge ( $m\ d^{-1}$ )	$L\ T^{-1}$
$R_*$	deep percolation (mm)	L
$\bar{R}$	net deep percolation (mm)	L
RO	surface runoff (mm)	L
S	salts in rootzone (ECmm)	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-2}$
SAR	sodium adsorption ratio ( $meq^{1/2}\ litre^{-1/2}$ )	$N^{1/2} L^{-1/2}$
$S_c$	seepage from canal (mm)	L
SCARP	Salinity Control and Reclamation Project	-

Acronym/ symbol	Description	Dimension
$S_e$	water extraction sink ( $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1}$ )	$T^{-1}$
$Se_{hp}$	maximum selenium concentration in harvested product ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	-
$Se_I$	selenium concentration in irrigation water ( $\sigma\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$S_{end}$	salts in the rootzone at the end of the period (ECmm)	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-2}$
$S_p$	lateral seepage (mm)	L
$Se_{ss}$	selenium concentration in soil solution ( $\sigma\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$Se_{ssm}$	maximum selenium concentration in soil solution ( $\sigma\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$S_i$	seepage inflow (mm)	L
$S_{IW}$	salts in infiltrated water (ECmm)	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-2}$
$S_R$	salts in percolation water from the rootzone (ECmm)	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-2}$
$S_{start}$	salts in the rootzone at the start of the period (ECmm)	$T^3 I^2 M^{-1} L^{-2}$
$S_v$	vertical seepage (mm)	L
$t$	time (d)	T
$TDS$	total dissolved solids ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ , $\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$TSS$	total suspended solids ( $\text{mg litre}^{-1}$ , $\text{g litre}^{-1}$ )	$\text{M L}^{-3}$
$V_{dw}$	volume of drainage water ( $\text{m}^3$ )	$L^3$
$V_{gw}$	volume of groundwater ( $\text{m}^3$ )	$L^3$
$V_i$	volume of irrigation water ( $\text{m}^3$ )	$L^3$
$Y$	empirical correction factor	-
$Y_r$	relative yield	-
$W_{fc}$	moisture content at field capacity (mm)	L
$WT$	water table depth (m)	L
$z$	vertical coordinate (m)	L