

Common challenges on planning integrated drought management

Eva Pek
Land and Water Officer
NSL, FAO Rome

THE ROLE OF MODEL NDP

Guidance to process and include the expected minimum content


Structure the information in a sensible way



Produce well-established conclusions







SYNTHESIS OF THE MULTI-CRITERIA ASSESSMENT


Some issues appear in most documents and are recommended to address them during the review

Some challenges arise from the lack of knowledgebase at global level

The workshop puts emphasis on these issues and provides technical support to the review








CHALLENGE 1: CONSISTENCY OF CHAPTERS

Logical relationship amongst findings, conclusions and actions are not strong enough

The most apparent case is the relationship between the impact and vulnerability assessments and priority actions

Vulnerability and impact assessments: retrospective, concentrated on one sector, often localized, and limited to GIS methodologies





CHALLENGE 2: ADHERENCE TO MODEL NDP

Model NDP gives flexibility to accommodate the different contexts

Minimum content is required to meet expectations



CHALLENGE 3: GENDER MAINSTREAMING

Gender considerations are either silent or only partially touched

Recognition of impacts on women is not sufficient

Holistic mainstreaming is required, including the action plans and budget



CHALLENGE 4: VULNERABLE GROUPS

Vulnerability assessment is not equal to the assessment of vulnerable groups

Stakeholder-differentiated assessment is scarce, as well as the mere identification of vulnerable groups

More granular assessment and inclusion of vulnerable groups into the planning are required

Clear shares and benefits from the action plans and budgets

