



Landbruks- og
matdepartementet

Experiences of Norway in Implementing the 1978 Act of the UPOV Convention and the ITPGRFA

Bell Batta Torheim
Marianne Smith

26th October 2016



Photo: Simon Jeppson

Plant breeding needed for ever!

- INPUT: Access to PGRFA a prerequisite
 - Breeders' exemptions
 - The Multilateral system on access and benefit sharing
 - Conserve and sustainable use
- OUTPUT: diverse and adaptive varieties
- HOW: Funding, structure
- Both UPOV and ITPGRFA specialized instruments for plant breeding



Breeding in Norway

- Aquaculture
- Animal
- Plants: Graminor
- Different IPR strategies



Photo: Rudolf Svensen



Photo: Jan Arve
Kristiansen/GENO




Photo: Håvard Simonsen

Experiences with UPOV

- Benefits:
 - Access to foreign germplasm
 - Export of Norwegian varieties
 - Buying DUS-testing in Finland
- Public funding – still needed and wanted
- Debate in 2004/2005: yes or no to the 1991 Act?



1978
VS.
1991



Why Farmers' Rights?

- Essential for conservation and sustainable use: farmers are custodians and innovators
- Farmers' seed system:
 - Reservoirs of PGRFA
 - Main source of seeds
 - Local cultures.
- In Norway, approx. 25% farmed saved seeds.
- Main forage is based on land race.



Photo:
J. Esquinas-Alcázar

Mutually supportive implementation

- Kept the 1978 Act
- Disclosure of origin in the PVP and patent law
- Annual contribution to the Benefit-sharing Fund equal to 0,1% of seed sales.
- Some support to activities for the conservation and sustainable use, e.g. pre-breeding, participatory plant breeding, community seed bank



Photo: Utviklingsfondet

International policy

- Crop Wild Relatives
- Meeting of UPOV and ITPGRFA focal points in Oslo in 2014
- Global consultations on Farmers' Rights in 2007, 2010, 2016
- Transparency and participation



Lessons learnt

- Link UPOV and Treaty implementation
- Plant breeding needs to meet local agroecological conditions
- Balance Plant Breeders' Rights and Farmers' Rights according to national context
- Still untapped potential for enhanced mutual supportiveness
- Broad participation and inclusiveness is beneficial

