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OVERVIEW OF INLAND FISHERIES IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

Introduction

This document provides a synthesis of the current status of inland fisheries in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC), as part of the background information for the sectoral analysis in the framework of the XVIII Session of COPPESAALC. The main source of information used in the preparation of this document was The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022\(^1\) (SOFIA), The status and trends of artisanal inland fisheries in Latin America and the Caribbean\(^2\) and FishstatJ database\(^3\).

Inland fisheries

In 2020, the world inland fisheries production was estimated at 11.5 million tonnes. In LAC this volume reached 0.49 million tonnes, a 3.68 percent reduction compared to 2019, reaching the 2014 levels. In 2020, inland fisheries contributed 3.87 percent to the region's fisheries (Table 1).

---

\(^1\) FAO. 2022 The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. Towards blue transformation. Rome, FAO https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0461es
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Table 1 Inland fisheries production in LAC (million tonnes) over the period 1974-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC contribution</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


From 1974 to 2015, LAC inland fisheries showed an upward trend that reached a plateau in recent years at around 500 000 tonnes (Figure 2). It is important to note that there is a high probability that the higher volume relates to better landing records, as it could be assumed that the volume was underestimated due to difficulties in data collection, particularly in remote populations, so that the quality of data has historically been poor and with time lags. Many indigenous communities in remote areas fish for family consumption, which is not recorded although it may be significant given the number of people engaged in this subsistence activity. It is important to note that between 2019 and 2020, inland fisheries will be reduced by 5.107 percent due to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, which imposed restrictions on access to landing areas and limitations in supply chains.

Figure 2. Inland fisheries volume in LAC between 1974 and 2020

Table 2 shows the estimated inland water fisheries volumes by country for the years 2019 and 2020. The relative contribution of inland fisheries to the region's total catches in 2020 decreased by 7 percent compared to the previous year.

---

Table 2. Contribution of inland fisheries to total national and LAC catches and Annual Growth Rate (AGR) 2015-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>217220</td>
<td>224910</td>
<td>224910</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>97842</td>
<td>155714</td>
<td>148551</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>18885</td>
<td>25484</td>
<td>19432</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>-23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colômbia</td>
<td>20474</td>
<td>22495</td>
<td>20757</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>-7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolívia Rep of Venezuela</td>
<td>6602</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>16292</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>146.8%</td>
<td>-25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>37526</td>
<td>18781</td>
<td>16293</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>-56.6%</td>
<td>-13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguai</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>16940</td>
<td>16975</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia Plurinational State of Uruguay</td>
<td>11730</td>
<td>7900</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>-36.1%</td>
<td>-5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>21695</td>
<td>7354</td>
<td>7955</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>-63.3%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>153.6%</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>República Dominicana</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>307.0%</td>
<td>-36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>40595</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>-97.8%</td>
<td>-20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>2408</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-72.0%</td>
<td>-10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>5959</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-89.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-92.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-67.3%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panamá</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-96.4%</td>
<td>121.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of LAC countries</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>6389</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>292.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LAC</td>
<td>634629</td>
<td>512834</td>
<td>493952</td>
<td>3.87%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-22.2%</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inland fisheries account for the total fish catches for the States of Bolivia (7 500 tonnes) and Paraguay (16 975 tonnes). Its relative contribution to total catches in some other countries is highly significant; for example, in 2020, it contributed 31.7 percent of Brazil’s total fish catches, 26.8 percent of Colombia’s fish catches and 20.9 percent of Guatemala’s fish catches.

The two main inland fishery producers in the region in 2020 were Brazil (45.5 percent) and Mexico (30.1 percent of the regional total). Together, the two countries accounted for 75.6 percent of the region's total inland fishery production (Table 2).

In the last decade, the production of Brazil, the main producer in the region, reached a plateau at around 225 000 tonnes. It is likely that the volume is still underestimated, as a significant portion is for self-consumption, which is not recorded, especially in villages with difficult access. A -22.2 percent decrease in inland fisheries in LAC was recorded between 2015 and 2020, and between 2019 and 2020, with a regional production decrease of -3.7 percent. This decline may reflect weak statistical systems or a reduction in the abundance of target species, which is difficult to ascertain given the lack of scientific information and the outbreak of the COVID 19 pandemic, which affected the supply chain and access to landing sites.

In relation to the main species caught in the 2019-2020 biennium, Table 3 highlights the -5 percent reduction in catches of tilapia (nei). South American shad shows a -13 percent decrease in catches, as well as surubies with a -23 percent drop in 2020, compared to 2019.

**Table 3. Main species caught in LAC inland waters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Caught volume 2019</th>
<th>Caught volume 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tilapias nep</td>
<td>100549</td>
<td>95827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South American shads nei</td>
<td>56943</td>
<td>49541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water fish nei</td>
<td>36577</td>
<td>36863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aischgrunder carp</td>
<td>34931</td>
<td>34228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water siluroids nei</td>
<td>26805</td>
<td>24603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characins nei</td>
<td>25571</td>
<td>22356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laulao catfish</td>
<td>23635</td>
<td>23597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curbinata</td>
<td>18346</td>
<td>18348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Semaprochilodus insignis]</td>
<td>14992</td>
<td>14983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow catfish</td>
<td>13720</td>
<td>13863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichlids nei</td>
<td>13414</td>
<td>14808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorubims nei</td>
<td>10758</td>
<td>8246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Hoplias aimara]</td>
<td>8880</td>
<td>8880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water shrimps nei</td>
<td>8667</td>
<td>8508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Hypophthalmus spp]</td>
<td>8660</td>
<td>8660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coporo</td>
<td>7066</td>
<td>7312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water charales nei</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>5533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netted prochilod</td>
<td>5545</td>
<td>4693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprinids nei</td>
<td>5091</td>
<td>4875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Schizodon fasciatus]</td>
<td>4742</td>
<td>4738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Curimata cyprinoides]</td>
<td>4730</td>
<td>4730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catfish nei</td>
<td>4664</td>
<td>4441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaked prochilod</td>
<td>5950</td>
<td>6470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cachama</td>
<td>4104</td>
<td>4198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although inland fisheries production is significantly lower than marine fisheries, its social significance is highly relevant because it represents the pillar of food security for many coastal communities, many of them indigenous people, located along the vast watersheds in the region. They also provide self-employment for thousands of inhabitants of these communities, who barter fish for other foodstuffs and supplies and earn money from the sale of surpluses.

Among the problems affecting the sustainability of inland fisheries, in addition to the effects of climate change on aquatic ecosystems and biodiversity, are water pollution by agrochemicals, sewage from human settlements, industrial waste and metals from mining, over-exploitation of aquifers, indiscriminate capture of juveniles for aquarium hobby, and the purposeful introduction of ecologically aggressive species. In South American countries where river fishing is culturally important, the destruction of habitats and the obstruction of waterways by dams have become serious threats, particularly for migratory species.

**Conclusions**

- Inland fisheries in the region are usually open access fisheries and highly labour intensive. They offer permanent or occasional employment opportunities in periods when there are no alternative sources of work for fishers. In general, fishers are a marginalised, low-income social sector, often with no land of their own, with little access to basic services and little access to finance and credit mechanisms to maintain their fishing gear. Inland fisheries play a crucial nutritional role among indigenous peoples for their contribution to food security, while in other regions they provide complementary food and a source of employment for impoverished urban sectors. These constraints reinforce the high social value of fisheries in meeting the food needs and improving the welfare of a highly vulnerable sector as a means of ensuring their survival.

- It is estimated that inland fisheries production in LAC has plateaued at around 500 000 tonnes per year, although it dropped to 493 000 tonnes in 2020 assuming that this has been caused by the COVID 19 pandemic. Despite the efforts of the countries to estimate the volume of regional inland fisheries, there is a need for efficient participatory recording and monitoring mechanisms, which would facilitate the sustainable inland fishery resources management.

- One of the main limitations for the registration and inclusion of fluvial-lagoon fishers in official programmes is the wide geographical distribution; in addition, fishery products are generally sold in local markets or for family consumption, which in many cases precludes the registration of their activity, due to the lack of human resources or mechanisms for regular data collection.

- Over the last decade, countries have made progress in identifying fishery species reported to FAO. At present, 87 percent of landings are related to one of the 33 families identified for the region and 39 percent have been recognised to species level.
According to the country information gathered, crustaceans, bivalves and snails, diadromous fish, anadromous shrimps and other euryhaline invertebrates from estuaries and coastal lagoons are important fishery resources in the Caribbean islands and also in some Mesoamerican countries. However, this is not clearly reflected in the FAO-FishStatJ data, given the paucity of information on inland fisheries for most of the countries and territories, and given that in some cases fisheries in these environments are reported as marine fisheries.

Among the main challenges for inland fisheries are the effects of water bodies contamination from different anthropogenic activities; climate change that alters rainfall patterns and migratory processes for the reproduction of many species and the modification of watercourses that produces the same effect.

Despite the efforts to improve the records of inland fisheries statistics, it is important to note that there are still some countries that have not reported information for over a decade, and in view of this, FAO makes an estimate, based on historical data.

The weakness of the gathering and analysis systems and the use of biological-fisheries information for decision-making in sectoral management is perhaps the main intrinsic and persistent weakness of the fisheries governing bodies in the region, in particular when the economic dimension of marine fisheries monopolises the limited resources available to them.