



XV WORLD FORESTRY CONGRESS

Building a Green, Healthy and Resilient Future with Forests

2-6 May 2022 | Coex, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Carbon pool analysis of standing trees in urban parks in Jabalpur city of Madhya Pradesh

Pratibha Bhatnagar¹, Jay Prakash George² and Rajesh Barman³

pratibhasfri1@gmail.com pratibhasfri1@gmail.com

Mob.- 9826905907

Climate Change Cell, State Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur

Abstract

Urban parks and gardens are considered as lungs of the cities and urban areas play significant role in global carbon cycle. Under the current examination, a study was carried out in one park and two gardens of cantonment area of Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. Carbon sequestration by standing trees were estimated in two gardens namely Tagore Garden (3 ha.) and Suramya Garden (1.25 ha.) alongwith one Children's Park (1.0 ha.) monitored and governed by the Jabalpur Cantonment Board. Carbon pool analysis is also taken into account for tree species in these three gardens. Total standing trees inside these two gardens and one park are 197, 86 and 132 respectively. Carbon sequestration in Tagore Garden was recorded highest 132.067 tons followed by 56.771 tons in Children's Park and 27.67 tons in Suramya Garden. Number of different tree species found were maximum in Tagore garden (37) followed by Children's Park (22) and Suramya Garden (16). Among 37 different species in Tagore Garden, Fishtail Palm (*Caryota urens*) was in maximum number (33) followed by Mango (*Mangifera indica*) 29 and Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) and Bottle Palm (*Hyophorbe lagenicaulis*) both 18. Total carbon sequestration by these species are 10.78, 20.08 and 18.82 tons, respectively. In Children's Park, Jamun (*Syzygium cumini*) was found maximum in numbers (38) followed by Mango (*Mangifera indica*) and Bottle Palm (*Hyophorbe labenicaulis*) both 20. Total Carbon sequestration by these species are 19.49, 6.90 and 1.60 tons, respectively. Suramya Garden is dominantly spread by one species i.e. Fish tail Palm (*Caryota urens*) as there are 47 trees found in the garden, next in terms of number is Kachnar (*Bahunia variegata*) as there were only 10 trees. Benefits from trees in climate mitigation and value of urban parks to well being of local communities in terms of health and other benefits are discussed in this paper. Carbon pool analysis was done to observe rate of carbon sequestration by each species.

Key words: *Carbon sequestration, parks and garden, climate change mitigation, Public health.*

Introduction, scope and main objectives

Urban forestry means managing of trees for their contribution to the physiological, sociological and economic well being of the urban society (FAO, 2010). Rapid urbanization in the country will be one of the most dominant trends in the coming years. As population expands and incomes grow, this shift will likely be realized alongside demographic changes

that will exponentially increase the demand for urban amenities like housing, energy, transport, water, waste and disposal (GoI, MoSPI 2015). By 2030, urban areas are projected to increase by an estimated 50 percent. High population density will lead to several challenges and a significant impact on environment is expected.

Green spaces in the form of gardens, parks and roadside plantations will have to be created on all available spaces to offset carbon emissions. Besides, tree canopies provide a cooling effect by shading the areas. The net saving in carbon emissions that can be achieved by urban planting can be up to 18 kg CO₂/ year per tree and this benefit corresponds to that provided by 3 to 5 forest trees of similar size and health (Ferrini and Fini, 2011).

As far as Madhya Pradesh is concerned, it has a total population of 72.63 million (Census, 2011) accounting to 6 percent of India's population. The rural and urban population stands at 72.37% and 27.63% respectively (FSI, 2019). Cities perform an important role in global carbon cycle by emitting larger amounts of CO₂ due to more energy consumption, transportation, and conversion of natural land to constructed environment (Churkina, 2008). Development of sustainable green cities is the need of today's fast urbanizing world. Nearly half of India's population will soon be living in urban areas.

Trees are important basis for atmospheric carbon i.e., carbon dioxide, as 50% of their standing biomass is carbon itself (Ravindranath *et al.*, 1997).

Waran and Patwardhan (2005) estimated carbon sequestration potential in urban plantations of Pune city. The rate of carbon sequestration by the trees was estimated to be 15,000 tons per year.

Study by Kiran and Kinnary, (2011) on carbon sequestration by urban trees revealed that trees in Vadodara city sequestered 73.59 tones of carbon which amounted 22% of estimated total CO₂ production in the city. Total CO₂ emission at major roads was found around 159.47 tons.

Study by Gandherwa and Bhattacharya, (2019) revealed that in parks and gardens of in South Delhi, young trees stock more carbon. Parks and gardens also perform vital function of biodiversity conservation. According to their study, they have estimated 66.93 tons of carbon stored in sample trees in Lodi garden and 14.49 tons of carbon in DDA park, Delhi. In their study, they have calculated the carbon content in tons only from sample trees in these two gardens of Delhi.

Since only few attempt have been made to study the potential of trees in carbon sequestration from urban areas in Pune and Vadodara cities. There is need for undertaking more studies.

Parks and gardens of Jabalpur

In Jabalpur Municipal Corporation there are 190 parks and gardens of different sizes between 0.2 ha. to 05 ha. There are three gardens maintained by Cantonment Board, Jabalpur

as given in Table 1. All secondary information of the parks and gardens of Cantonment Board was taken.

Table-1: List of sampled parks and gardens developed by Cantonment Board, Jabalpur

| S.N. | Name of Parks/Gardens and Location | GPS location | Major tree species | Area in ha. | Total no. of trees |
|------|--|----------------------|--|-------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Tagore Garden, Sadar, Jabalpur | N-23.156 E-79.948 | <i>Hyophorbe laginicaulis</i> , <i>Caryota urens</i> , <i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> | 3 | 197 |
| 2. | Suramya Garden, Cantt. Ridge Road, Jabalpur | N-23.158 E-79.961 | <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> , <i>Syzygium cumini</i> | 1.25 | 86 |
| 3. | Children's Park, Cantt. Ridge Road, Jabalpur | N-23.160 E-79.959 | <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> , <i>Syzygium cumini</i> | 1 | 132 |

Objective

To estimate carbon sequestration by different species in Parks and Gardens of Cantonment Board in Jabalpur.

Methodology/approach

Selection of sample trees for measurement was done in parks/gardens. Sample plots of 31.62 m X 31.62 m size were laid and four such plots were laid, one in each of the four corners of each park and garden. From the data obtained from the measurement in those four plots, the average number of trees and carbon sequestered per tree per unit area was calculated and multiplied by the total area of the park/garden to obtain the estimated values for the whole park/garden.

A non-destructive sampling approach was adopted to estimate the above ground tree biomass in different trees. An attempt was made to select sample plots in all large gardens having size of more than 05 hac and more and in all density classes. Nested two stage sampling approach was adopted to sample trees. A super plot of 250m x 250m size, was laid in each of the several sites. Four sample plots, each of 31.62m x 31.62m (0.1 ha) size, were laid within each super plot.

Results

There are one medium sized and two small sized parks/gardens. Tagore garden is medium sized garden which has sequestered 132.067 tons of carbon by 197 different trees. It is because of the larger sizes of trees both in terms of height (up to 20-22 mt.) and girth (270-300 cm). Table 2 shows the species wise list of the trees in Tagore garden with carbon content. It can be seen from the table that there are as many as 37 different species of trees of different age groups. Average carbon content per tree varies from as low as 0.003 ton in case of *Tectona grandis* to as high as 7.572 tons in case of *Ficus benghalnensis*. Tagore garden is also one of the oldest garden of Jabalpur.

The size of Suramya Garden is 1.25 ha. Children's Park, Cantt. has sequestered 56.771 tons of carbon, followed by Suramya Garden with 27.673 tons.

Total-2: Carbon content in Parks and Gardens of Cantonment Board, Jabalpur

| S. No. | Name of Parks | Area (ha) | Total No. of trees | Total carbon (tons) |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|
| A. Large-sized Gardens | | | | |
| 1. | Tagore Garden, Cant., Sadar, Jabalpur | 3.000 | 197 | 132.067 |
| 2. | Suramya Garden, Ridge Road, Jabalpur | 1.250 | 86 | 27.67 |
| 3. | Children's Park, Cant., Ridge Road, Jabalpur | 1.000 | 132 | 56.771 |
| Total carbon (A) | | | 415 | 216.508 |

Table-3: Carbon sequestration in Tagore Garden, Sadar, Jabalpur

| S.No. | Species | Total no. of trees | Avg. carbon per tree (ton) | Total carbon (tons) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | <i>Aegle marmelos</i> | 2 | 0.345 | 0.689 |
| 2. | <i>Artocarpus lacucha</i> | 9 | 0.769 | 6.921 |
| 3. | <i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> | 18 | 1.046 | 18.822 |
| 4. | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | 2 | 0.248 | 0.495 |
| 5. | <i>Bombax ceiba</i> | 3 | 0.635 | 1.904 |
| 6. | <i>Bridelia retusa</i> | 2 | 0.580 | 1.159 |
| 7. | <i>Caryota urens</i> | 33 | 0.327 | 10.785 |
| 8. | <i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i> | 2 | 0.554 | 1.108 |
| 9. | <i>Ceiba pentandra</i> | 3 | 0.555 | 1.666 |
| 10. | <i>Cocos nucifera</i> | 3 | 0.198 | 0.593 |
| 11. | <i>Delonix regia</i> | 2 | 0.344 | 0.687 |
| 12. | <i>Dyopsis lutescens</i> | 3 | 0.008 | 0.024 |
| 13. | <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> | 8 | 0.806 | 6.451 |
| 14. | <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> | 2 | 5.694 | 11.387 |
| 15. | <i>Ficus racemosa</i> | 2 | 3.882 | 7.763 |
| 16. | <i>Ficus religiosa</i> | 3 | 0.187 | 0.562 |
| 17. | <i>Gmelina arborea</i> | 2 | 0.007 | 0.014 |
| 18. | <i>Grevillea robusta</i> | 2 | 0.134 | 0.268 |
| 19. | <i>Hyophorbe lagenicaulis</i> | 18 | 0.490 | 8.824 |
| 20. | <i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> | 2 | 0.189 | 0.377 |
| 21. | <i>Livistona chinensis</i> | 2 | 0.073 | 0.146 |
| 22. | <i>Madhuca indica</i> | 2 | 0.058 | 0.116 |
| 23. | <i>Magnolia champaca</i> | 5 | 0.112 | 0.559 |
| 24. | <i>Mangifera indica</i> | 29 | 0.693 | 20.086 |
| 25. | <i>Manilkara hexandra</i> | 2 | 0.344 | 0.687 |
| 26. | <i>Mimusops elengi</i> | 5 | 0.419 | 2.093 |
| 27. | <i>Mitragyna parviflora</i> | 3 | 0.514 | 1.543 |
| 28. | <i>Neolamarckia cadamba</i> | 2 | 1.172 | 2.343 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------|----------------|
| 29. | <i>Nyctanthes arbor-tristis</i> | 3 | 0.011 | 0.033 |
| 30. | <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> | 5 | 0.258 | 1.290 |
| 31. | <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> | 12 | 0.932 | 11.188 |
| 32. | <i>Syzygium cumini</i> | 2 | 0.748 | 1.496 |
| 33. | <i>Tamarindus indica</i> | 5 | 1.845 | 9.226 |
| 34. | <i>Tecoma stans</i> | 3 | 0.003 | 0.009 |
| 35. | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 3 | 0.196 | 0.589 |
| 36. | <i>Terminalia bellirica</i> | 2 | 0.071 | 0.141 |
| 37. | <i>Terminalia catappa</i> | 2 | 0.010 | 0.020 |
| Total | | 197 | | 132.067 |

Table-4: Carbon sequestration in Suramya Garden, Ridge Road, Jabalpur

| S.No | Species | Total no. of trees | Avg. carbon per tree (ton) | Total carbon (tons) |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | <i>Aegle marmelos</i> | 1 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| 2. | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | 3 | 0.421 | 1.263 |
| 3. | <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> | 10 | 0.026 | 0.260 |
| 4. | <i>Butea monosperma</i> | 4 | 0.142 | 0.568 |
| 5. | <i>Caryota urens</i> | 47 | 0.189 | 8.883 |
| 6. | <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> | 2 | 0.046 | 0.092 |
| 7. | <i>Delonix regia</i> | 1 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 8. | <i>Ficus religiosa</i> | 1 | 2.024 | 2.024 |
| 9. | <i>Hyophorbe lagenicaulis</i> | 1 | 0.118 | 0.118 |
| 10. | <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> | 1 | 0.541 | 0.541 |
| 11. | <i>Mangifera indica</i> | 2 | 3.042 | 6.084 |
| 12. | <i>Nyctanthes arbor-tristis</i> | 1 | 0.029 | 0.029 |
| 13. | <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> | 1 | 0.408 | 0.408 |
| 14. | <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> | 2 | 2.573 | 5.146 |
| 15. | <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> | 3 | 0.152 | 0.456 |
| 16. | <i>Ziziphus mauritiana</i> | 6 | 0.293 | 1.758 |
| Total | | 86 | | 27.673 |

Table-5: Carbon sequestration in Children's Park, Ridge Road, Jabalpur

| S.No. | Species | Total no. of trees | Avg. carbon per tree (ton) | Total Carbon (tons) |
|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | <i>Albizia lebbbeck</i> | 1 | 0.560 | 0.560 |
| 2. | <i>Araucaria araucana</i> | 3 | 0.003 | 0.009 |
| 3. | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | 6 | 0.325 | 1.950 |
| 4. | <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> | 1 | 0.436 | 0.436 |
| 5. | <i>Bombax ceiba</i> | 2 | 0.104 | 0.208 |
| 6. | <i>Bridelia retusa</i> | 2 | 0.324 | 0.648 |
| 7. | <i>Cascabela thevetia</i> | 2 | 0.065 | 0.130 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------|---------------|
| 8. | <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> | 1 | 0.165 | 0.165 |
| 9. | <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> | 5 | 0.888 | 4.440 |
| 10. | <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> | 3 | 1.670 | 5.010 |
| 11. | <i>Ficus racemosa</i> | 2 | 1.028 | 2.056 |
| 12. | <i>Ficus religiosa</i> | 3 | 2.054 | 6.162 |
| 13. | <i>Hyophorbe lagenicaulis</i> | 20 | 0.080 | 1.600 |
| 14. | <i>Madhuca latifolia</i> | 1 | 0.288 | 0.288 |
| 15. | <i>Mangifera indica</i> | 20 | 0.345 | 6.900 |
| 16. | <i>Millettia pinnata</i> | 1 | 0.528 | 0.528 |
| 17. | <i>Moringa olifera</i> | 1 | 0.821 | 0.821 |
| 18. | <i>Phyllanthus officinalis</i> | 5 | 0.065 | 0.325 |
| 19. | <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> | 9 | 0.469 | 4.221 |
| 20. | <i>Polyalthia longifolia</i> | 1 | 0.670 | 0.670 |
| 21. | <i>Psidium guajava</i> | 4 | 0.035 | 0.140 |
| 22. | <i>Sterculia urens</i> | 1 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 23. | <i>Syzygium cumini</i> | 38 | 0.513 | 19.494 |
| Total | | 132 | | 56.771 |

On the basis of sampled parks/gardens of Jabalpur carbon content is 216.508 ton by parks and gardens of Cantonment Board.

Discussion

Many researchers have already reported the importance of parks and gardens in urban cities. In fact, the parks and gardens are considered as lungs of the cities (Shinde and Mahajan, 2015). Another research by Choudhry and Tewari, (2010) suggested that although man made greenery can not compensate nature's green cover, yet it plays a significant role in attracting domestic tourists towards a city of parks/gardens in a developing country like India. Trees in parks and gardens not only make them beautiful but also play an important role in purifying the air. Here, we are discussing the suitable trees that should be planted in any park or garden of a city. These recommendations have been arrived on basis of earlier findings and also on basis of existing trees which are found in different parks and gardens of Jabalpur.

As can be seen from the Tables 3 to 5 52 tree species were found in the three parks. The study by Shinde and Mahajan, (2015) has already reported that Chittaranjan Vatika of Pune was dominated by *Cassia siamia*, *Delonix regia*, *Milintona hotensis*, *Putranjiva roxburghii*, *Peltoforum mermi*, *Saraca indica* and *Spathodea campanulata*. Trees in terms of higher carbon sequestration, *Ficus benghalensis* showed the highest carbon sequestration followed by *Albizia lebbek*, *Delonix regia* and *Pithecelobium dulce*.

In our study, barring species like *Ficus benghalensis*, *Ficus racemosa*, *Ficus religiosa*, *Mangifera indica* and *Polyalthia longifolia*, all species have been found and *Ficus*

benghalensis, *Ficus racemosa* and *Mangifera indica* are in the list of sequestering higher carbon as compared to others.

Biodiversity has a direct link to human wellbeing (e.g., through nature experience), while it also provides an important base for ecosystem functioning and thus a range of ecosystem services. (Hooper *et al.*, 2005). Air quality and carbon sequestration: positive impacts of urban parks in terms of reducing air pollutant levels and carbon sequestration (Konijnendijk *et al.*, 2013).

Since urban centres have small spaces for greening, careful selection of tree/shrub species based on the soil type and climatic conditions should be done. Many common exotic species have been observed in Parks. The studied parks were developed with combination of both native and exotic species. Native species already existed in the area earlier during the development of these parks. They were retained and being older provided good canopy cover. In development of landscaped Parks, species providing aesthetic, environmental values-carbon sequestration, habitat to other species and other utilitarian values may be considered. Urban vegetation includes trees, shrubs and herbs on public and private lands (parks, streets, backyards), all interspersed within a landscape dominated by paved surfaces. This kind of vegetation plays a vital role in moderating micro climate, sequestering greenhouse gases (CO₂, etc.) and also in aiding the percolation of water (Ramachandra *et al.*, 2014).

Conclusions

The following section, lists the tree species to be considered for planting along roadsides and parks and gardens in Jabalpur.

While considering the massive tree plantations, the indigenous species that support biodiversity should be given preference over exotic tree species like *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia auriculiformis*. Results obtained by working with *Anogeissus latifolia* indicates it's potential and can serve as the promising candidate for future afforestation programmes (Waran and Patwardhan, 2005).

The proximity of residential colonies to urban parks improves health and well being of communities, the physical fitness, provides clean air and climate. Communities attain well being; feel peaceful away from the busy and hurried life in urban centres. These parks and gardens play an important role in improving environment too.

In a populated country like India, these parks provide other amenities like shade of trees during the hot season; improve microclimate, besides sequestering carbon. They are also providing a habitat to wildlife especially birds and small animals. These are especially attractive to children and can be useful for connecting to nature and educational purposes.

In the coming decades Parks will emerge as important health centres. Thus cities will become sustainable only with healthy life and ample green spaces.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge with thanks funding support received for Environment Planning and Coordination Organization, Bhopal.

References

- Chaudhry, Pradeep and Vindhya P Tewari (2010). Role of public parks/gardens in attracting domestic tourists: an example from city beautiful of India. *Tourismos: An International Multidisciplinary Journal of Tourism*, 5(1): 101-109.
- Churkina, G. (2008). Modeling the carbon cycle of urban systems. *Ecological Modeling*, 216: 107-113.
- FAO (2010). Food and Agriculture Organization. The State of Food Security in the World.
- Ferrini, Francesco and Alessio Fini (2011). Sustainable management techniques for trees in the urban areas. *Journal of Biodiversity and Ecological Sciences*, 1(1):1-20.
- FSI (2019). State of Forest Report, 2019 (16th). Forest Survey of India, Dehradun.
- Gandherva, Deepanshi and Prodyut Bhattacharya (2019). Parks and Gardens in Delhi: a case study examining carbon storage potential of urban green spaces in South and South-West Delhi. *Journal of Tropical Forestry*, 35: (II&III), 64-78.
- GoI, MoSPI (2015). Statistics related to climate change- India 2015. Government of India, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation Central Statistics Office Social Statistics Division, New Delhi.
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin III, F.S. Ewel, J.J. Hector, A. Inchausti, P. Lavorel, S. Lawton, J.H. Logde, D.M. Loreau, M. Naeem, S. Schmid, B. La Seta, H.A.J. Symstad, J. Vandermeer and D.A. Wardle (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus on current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs*, 75 (1):3-35.
- Konijnendijk, van den Bosch, Cecil van den Bosch, Matilda, Nielsen, Anders and Maruthaveeran Sreetheran (2013). Benefits of urban parks: a systematic review-A Report for IFPRA.
- Kiran, G. Sandhya and Shah Kinnary (2011). Carbon sequestration by urban trees on roadside of Vadodara city. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST)*, 3(4): 3066-3070.
- Ramachandra, T.V., Harish R. Bhat and Gouri Kulkarni (2014). Tree species for planting at the sides and median of the roads in Bengaluru. *ENVIS Technical Report*, 79.
- Ravindranath, N., Byadarahalli Srikantiah, Somashekhar and Gadgil Madhav (1997). Carbon Flows in Indian Forest. *Climatic Change*, 35: 297-320.

Shinde, V.R. and D.M.Mahajan (2015). Carbon pool analysis of urban parks (Chh. Sambhaji Garden and Chittaranjan Vatika, Pune). *Journal of Basic Science*, 1: 20-27.

Waran, Archana and Ankur Patwardhan (2005). Carbon Sequestration Potential of Trees in and around Pune City. *J. Earth Sci. Climate Change*, 35(3): 297–320.