

**Project Evaluation Series**

**Terminal evaluation of  
Global Sustainable Fisheries Management  
and Biodiversity Conservation in the  
Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction**

**ABNJ Tuna Project**

**Project code: GCP/GLO/365/GFF  
GEF ID: 4581**

**Annex 2. Evaluation matrix and evaluation questions by area of analysis**

<p><b>Relevance</b></p>	<p>Were the Tuna Project outcomes congruent with the GEF focal areas/operational program strategies, FAO's global and regional priorities and strategic objectives, with the international agreements and frameworks on the ABNJ, and with the priorities and policies of t-RMOs and member States?</p>
<p><b>Achievement of project results</b></p>	<p>To what extent have the Tuna Project objectives been achieved, and were there any unintended results? To what extent has the Tuna Project delivered on its outcomes and outputs? To what extent has the Tuna Project helped to strengthen the capacities and policies of t-RFMOs and member states to: a) implement sustainable strategies to manage tuna fisheries? b) implement measures to reduce IUU fishing in ABNJ? c) implement measures to reduce the ecosystem impacts of the tuna fishing? To what extent can the attainment of results be attributed to the GEF-funded component?</p> <p>How has the Tuna Project contributed to a better management of tuna fisheries resources and sustainability in areas beyond national jurisdiction (ABNJ), including national, regional and international governance?</p> <p>How has the Tuna Project contributed to improve Monitoring, Control and Surveillance of tuna fishing vessels and to reduce IUU Fishing activities?</p> <p>How has the Tuna Project contributed to reduce the impact of tuna fisheries on bycatch species and in the marine ecosystem?</p>
<p><b>Efficiency, project implementation and execution</b></p>	<p><b>Implementation:</b></p> <p>To what extent did FAO deliver on Tuna Project identification, concept preparation, appraisal, preparation, approval and start-up, oversight and supervision? How well risks were identified and managed?</p> <p><b>Execution:</b></p> <p>To what extent did the execution agencies effectively discharge its role and responsibilities related to the management and administration of the Tuna Project?</p>
<p><b>Monitoring and Evaluation</b></p>	<p><b>M&amp;E design:</b></p> <p>Was the M&amp;E plan for the Tuna Project practical and sufficient?</p> <p><b>M&amp;E implementation:</b></p> <p>Did the M&amp;E system operate as per the M&amp;E plan? Was information gathered in a systematic manner, using appropriate methodologies? Was the information from the M&amp;E system appropriately used to make timely decisions and foster learning during project implementation? Or to adapt and improve project planning and execution, achievement of outcomes and ensuring sustainability?</p>
<p><b>Sustainability</b></p>	<p>What is the likelihood that the Tuna Project results will continue to be useful or will remain even after the end of the project? What are the key risks which may affect the sustainability benefits brought about by the project? Is the level of ownership by the main national, regional and international stakeholders sufficient to allow for the project results to be sustained?</p>
<p><b>Stakeholder engagement</b></p>	<p>Were other actors, such as civil society, indigenous population, private sector or other important stakeholders involved in the design or implementation of the Tuna Project, and what was the effect on the projects' results? How effective were partnerships addressed in the Tuna Project? To what extent has the Project's partnership approach contributed to the Project's delivery of outcomes and outputs? What are the strengths and challenges of the partnerships established?</p>

<b>Environmental and social safeguards</b>	To what extent were environmental and social concerns taken into consideration in the design and implementation of the Tuna Projects?
<b>Gender</b>	To what extent were gender considerations taken into account in designing and implementing the project? Was the project implemented in a manner that ensures gender equitable participation and benefits?
<b>Co-financing</b>	To what extent did the expected co-financing materialize, and what are their contribution to the projects' results? What were the issues related to the GEF financing and co-financing of the Project?
<b>Progress to Impact</b>	To what extent may the progress towards long-term impact be attributed to the project? To what extent can the Project's current and potential results be upscaled, replicated or serve as a catalyst for future interventions centred on tuna and ABNJ?
<b>Knowledge Management</b>	To what extent was knowledge management used by the project? What are the major lessons and good practices emerging from the project implementation? How effective has the Project been in communicating and promoting its key messages and results to partners, stakeholders and a general audience?